## Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment

## Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk



Name of policy/service/function	Anti-Money Laundering Policy							
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?	Existing Policy							
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened.  Please state if this policy/service is rigidly constrained by statutory obligations	Regulated Authorities must have provisions in place relating to Money Laundering, as a Local Authority we are not legally obliged to apply the provisions of the Money Laundering Regulations 2007. However, as a responsible public body, the Borough Council who do not undertake any such regulated activities should employ policies and procedures which reflect the essence of the UK's anti-terrorist financing, and anti-money laundering regimes. Such legislation has been considered by professional bodies, resulting in best practice Guidance being issued that requires local authorities to establish internal procedures to prevent the use of their services for money laundering.							
Question	Answer							
1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different protected characteristic, for example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or priorities or in terms of ability to access the service?		Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure			
	Age			Х				
	Disability		Х					
	Gender		Х					
Please tick the relevant box for each group.	Gender Re-assignment		Х					
	Marriage/civil partnership		Х					
NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on any group.	Pregnancy & maternity			Χ				
	Race			Х				
	Religion or belief			Х				
		. 1			I			

	Other (eg	low income)		X		
Question	Answer	Comments	ents			
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?	No					
3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently?	No					
4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination?	No					
5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions?	No	Actions:				
If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section		Actions agreed by EWG members				
If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact comments are provided to explain why			unless	4		
Decision agreed by EWG member:	la 10PGall	lawal —				
				•••		
Assessment completed by: Name	James Hay					
	Senior Internal Auditor					
Job title	Senior Int	ernal Auditor				